

Nonuniform Markov geometric measures

J. Neunhäuserer

University of Applied Science Berlin

neunchen@aol.com

Abstract

We generalize results of Fan and Zhang [5] on absolute continuity and singularity of the golden Markov geometric series to non uniform stochastic series given by arbitrary Markov process. In addition we describe an application of these results in fractal geometry.

MSC2010: 26A46, 26A30, 28A80

Key-words: Markov processes, random powers series, singularity, absolute continuity, dimension, fractals

1 Introduction

Geometric probability measures on the real line induced by Bernoulli processes were intensely studied in geometric measure theory since the work of Erdős [2, 3]. We have a couple of results on singularity and dimension resp. absolute continuity and density of these measures. We refer here to Peres, Schlag and Solomyak [14] for a nice overview on results about Bernoulli convolutions and to [10, 11, 12] for results on non-uniform self-similar measures given Bernoulli processes.

To achieve further progress, it seems natural to drop the assumption of independence of the process and to study the properties Markov geometric measures. A result in this direction is due to Fan and Zhang [5]. They proved that the distribution random power series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \beta^i$$

for the golden Markov process (X_i) is singular, if $\beta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2})$ and absolutely continuous for almost all $\beta \in (\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, 0.739)$. In this paper we generalize this approach to non-uniform stochastic series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \beta_1^{\hat{X}_i} \beta_0^{i-\hat{X}_i}$$

for arbitrary 2-step Markov process (X_i) . We first prove that Markov geometric measures, as the distribution of such series, are of pure type either totally singular or absolutely continuous, see theorem 3.1. Moreover we find an upper bound on the Hausdorff dimension of the measures which gives us a domain of singularity, see theorem 3.2. Outside this domain we prove generic absolute continuity of the measure under the condition that

the parameter values β_1, β_2 are below 0.739. In addition we find a subdomain where the measures generically have a density in L^2 , see theorem 3.3. The bound 0.739 that appears here is due to the transversally techniques used in the proves, see section 5. Our result on absolute continuity can be applied in fractal geometry to prove a weighted version of the classical Moran formula [8] for the Hausdorff dimension of certain self affine sets, see theorem 3.4.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In the next section we introduce three different descriptions of Markov geometric measures and in section three we formalize our result recalling some notions in geometric measure theory. In section four the reader find the prove of the result on singularity, the next section contains the proof of results on absolute continuity and in last section we prove our result in fractal geometry.

2 Description of the measures

For $p \in (0, 1)$ let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the Markov chain with two states 0 and 1, given by the transition matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} p_{00} & p_{01} \\ p_{10} & p_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and initial probability

$$(p_0, p_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2-p}, \frac{1-p}{2-p} \right).$$

Consider random power series in two variables $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in (0, 1)$, given by

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \beta_1^{\hat{X}_i} \beta_0^{i-\hat{X}_i},$$

where the random variable \hat{X}_i counts the number of entry in the chain that are one,

$$\hat{X}_i = \sum_{k=1}^i X_k.$$

We call the distribution of this random power series,

$$\mu(B) = \mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p}(B) = \text{Prob}(S \in B)$$

for Borel sets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, a nonuniform Markov geometric measure on the real line. If $\beta_0 = \beta_1$ and p is the inverse of the golden mean, the measures defined here are exactly the distribution of golden Markov geometric series introduced by Fan and Zhang [4]. To get results on the properties of the measures we introduce two other descriptions.

Given the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

consider the Fibonacci subshift

$$\Sigma_A = \{(s_k) \mid a_{s_i s_{i+1}} = 1\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

with the natural product metric

$$d((s_k), (t_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |s_k - t_k| 2^{-k}.$$

The Markov chain $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces a Borel probability measure ν_p supported by Σ_A . We introduce a coding map $\pi : \Sigma_A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\pi((s_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i \beta_1^{\sharp_i((s_k))} \beta_0^{i - \sharp_i((s_k))},$$

where $\sharp_i((s_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^i s_k$. Obviously the Markov geometric measure μ is given by

$$\mu(B) = \pi(\nu_p)(B) = \nu_p(\pi^{-1}(B))$$

for Borel sets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Note that μ is supported by $\pi(\Sigma_A)$, which is a Cantor set in the case $\beta_1 + \beta_1 \beta_2 < 1$ and contains an interval if $\beta_1 + \beta_1 \beta_2 \geq 1$. Furthermore note that the set $(\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\Sigma_A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ for $\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ is a self affine fractal, modeled by the subshift Σ_A , see chapter 5 of [17]. It supports the Borel probability measure $(\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\nu)$ on \mathbb{R}^2

For the third description of Markov geometric measures, considers the contracting similitudes

$$T_0 x = \beta_0 x \quad T_1 x = \beta_1 x + 1$$

on the interval $I = [0, \beta_2/(1 - \beta_2)]$. Let \mathfrak{M} be the space of Borel probability measures on I . With the Prohorov metric δ this is a compact convex metric space, compare [1]. Define a sequence of linear operators on \mathfrak{M} by

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \sum_{[s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq \Sigma_A} \nu([s_1, \dots, s_n]) T_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ T_{s_n},$$

where the sum is take over all cylinder sets in Σ_A , with first n digits fixed. The operator is well defined on \mathfrak{M} , since we consider convex combinations. Now we have:

Proposition 2.1 *For all $\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$ the sequence $\mathbf{T}_n \xi$ converges to the Markov geometric measure μ .*

Proof. For all $n > 0$ the operator \mathbf{T}_n is a contraction on \mathfrak{M} with contraction constant $\max\{\beta_0, \beta_1\}^n$, see [9]. Hence by contraction mapping principle \mathbf{T}_n has a unique fixpoint

$\mu_n \in \mathfrak{M}$. μ_n may be also described as the invariant measure for the iterated function system

$$\{T_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ T_{s_n} | [s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq \Sigma_A\}$$

with probability vector

$$(\nu([s_1, \dots, s_n]))_{[s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq \Sigma_A}.$$

It is well known, see [9], that this measure is the image of the Bernoulli measure ν_n on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ assigning blocks $[s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq \Sigma_A$ the probability $\nu([s_1, \dots, s_n])$ under the map $\bar{\pi} : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto I$ given by

$$\bar{\pi}(s_k) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{s_1} \circ T_{s_2} \circ \dots \circ T_{s_m}(I).$$

A simple calculation shows that $\bar{\pi}$ is nothing but the coding map π defined above. Hence $\mu_n = \pi(\nu_n)$. Obviously the sequence of Bernoulli measures ν_n converges to the Markov measure ν on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Hence μ_n converges to the Markov geometric measure μ . Now consider an arbitrary Borel probability measure ξ on I . We have

$$\delta(\mu_n, T_n \xi) = \delta(T_n \mu_n, T_n \xi) \leq \max\{\beta_0, \beta_1\}^n \delta(\mu_n, \xi).$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\mu_n, T_n \xi) = 0$ which implies our claim. \square

3 Results

For convenience recall some notions from measure theory, compare [1] or [7]. A Borel probability measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$ is totally singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure \mathfrak{L} , if there is a Borel set B of Lebesgue measure zero, $\mathfrak{L}(B) = 0$, with $\mu(B) = 1$. The measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, if $\mu(B) > 0$ holds only for Borel sets B of positive Lebesgue measure, $\mathfrak{L}(B) > 0$. Moreover any measure μ may be decomposed into singular μ_S and absolute continuous part μ_A , which means $\mu = \mu_S + \mu_A$.

Theorem 3.1 *Markov geometric measure are of pure type, either absolutely continuous or totally singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.*

Proof. Decompose the Markov geometric measure μ . Assume that both part do not vanish. By proposition 2.1 we have

$$\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\mu_S + \mu_A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \mu_S + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \mu_A = 2\mu,$$

since the maps T_n are linear. This is a contradiction. \square

In order to state our result on singularity of Markov geometric measure we introduce the Hausdorff dimension of a Borel probability measure μ on the line by

$$\dim_H \mu = \inf\{\dim_H A \mid \mu(A) = 1\},$$

where $\dim_H A$ is the Hausdorff dimension of a subset A of \mathbb{R} . We refer to the book of Falconer [4] or the book of Pesin [17] for an introduction to dimension theory. Note that $\dim_H \mu < 1$ obviously implies the singularity of μ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. We will prove the following theorem in section four:

Theorem 3.2 *For all $\beta_0, \beta_1, p \in (0, 1)$ with*

$$\beta_0 \beta_1^{1-p} < p^p (1-p)^{1-p}$$

the Markov geometric measure $\mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p}$ is singular with

$$\dim_H \mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p} \leq \frac{p \log(p) + (1-p) \log(1-p)}{\log(\beta_0) + (1-p) \log(\beta_1)}.$$

We note that equality in the dimension estimate above follows from classical results in the case that μ is supported by a Cantor set, that is means $\beta_1 + \beta_1 \beta_2 < 1$, see [17].

To state results on absolute continuity, recall that by the theorem of RadonNikodym a Borel probability measure μ is absolutely continuous, if and only if it has a density $f \in L^1$

$$\mu = \int f d\mathcal{L}.$$

For an absolutely continuous measure we may thus ask if this density is L^2 , which means

$$\int f^2 d\mathcal{L} < \infty.$$

In section five we will prove the following result:

Theorem 3.3 *Fix $p \in (0, 1)$. For almost all $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in (0, 0.739)$ with*

$$\beta_0 \beta_1^{1-p} > p^p (1-p)^{1-p}$$

the Markov geometric measure $\mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p}$ is absolute continuous and has density in L^2 for almost all $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in (0, 0.739)$ with

$$(\beta_0 - p^2) \beta_1 > (1-p)^2.$$

Note that the lower bound on absolute continuity here is sharp by theorem 3.2. The upper bound is due to the techniques we will use in the proof and is not expected to be sharp.

In the last section we will apply our result on absolute continuity of Markov geometric measure to obtain the following result in fractal geometry:

Theorem 3.4 *For all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\tau_1 + \tau_1\tau_2 < 1$ and almost all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 0.739)$ with $\beta_1 + \beta_1\beta_2 > 1$, the Hausdorff dimension d of the self affine fractal*

$$\Lambda = (\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\Sigma_A)$$

is given by the unique solution $d > 1$ of

$$\beta_1\tau_1^{d-1} + \beta_1\beta_2(\tau_1\tau_2)^{d-1} = 1.$$

We remark that this is a weighted version of the classical Moran formula $\tau_1^d + (\tau_1\tau_2)^d = 1$ for the Hausdorff dimension of the self-similar sets $\pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(\Sigma_A)$ modeled by the subshift, see [8] and [17].

4 Singularity

Given $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in (0, 1)$, we define an adapted metric on the Fibonacci subshift Σ_A by

$$d_{\beta_0, \beta_1}((s_k), (t_k)) = \beta_1^{\#i((s_k))} \beta_0^{i - \#i((s_k))},$$

where

$$i = i((s_k), (t_k)) = \max\{k | s_k = t_k\}$$

and

$$\#i((s_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^i s_k.$$

From [10] we know that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 4.1 *The map coding $\pi : \Sigma_A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the adapted metric d_{β_0, β_1} .*

Now we need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *For $p \in (0, 1)$ let ν be the Borel probability measure on Σ_A , given by the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the vector

$$\left(\frac{1}{2-p}, \frac{1-p}{2-p}\right).$$

The Hausdorff dimension of ν with respect to the adapted metric d_{β_0, β_1} on Σ_A is given by

$$\dim_H \nu_p = \frac{p \log(p) + (1-p) \log(1-p)}{\log(\beta_0) + (1-p) \log(\beta_1)}.$$

Proof. We know from Parry [13] that the entropy of the measure ν_p is given by

$$h(\nu_p) = -\left(\frac{p}{2-p} \log(p) + \frac{1-p}{2-p} \log(1-p)\right).$$

Moreover by Shannons local entropy theorem for ν_p -almost all sequences (s_k) in Σ_A

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \nu_p([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]) = h(\nu_p),$$

where $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]$ denotes the cylinder set. The diameter of this cylinder set with respect to the metric d_{β_0, β_1} is given by

$$|[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]| = \beta_1^{\#n((s_k))} \beta_0^{n-\#n((s_k))},$$

and by Birkhoffs ergodic theorem we have for ν_p -almost all sequences (s_k) in Σ_A

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log(\beta_1^{\#n((s_k))} \beta_0^{n-\#n((s_k))}) = -\left(\frac{p}{2-p} \log(\beta_0) + \frac{1-p}{2-p} \log(\beta_1)\right)$$

for almost all sequences (s_k) in Σ_A . Hence the local dimension of the measure ν is given by

$$d(\nu_p, (s_k)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\nu_p([s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]))}{\log(|[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]|)} = \frac{p \log(p) + (1-p) \log(1-p)}{\log(\beta_0) + (1-p) \log(\beta_1)}$$

almost surely and our result follows from the local mass distribution principle, see [4]. \square

We are now prepared to prove theorem 3.2.

Proof of theorem 3.2 It is well known [4], that Lipschitz maps do increase Hausdorff dimension. Hence $\dim_H \mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p} \leq \dim_H \nu$ since $\mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p} = \pi(\nu)$ with respect to the metric d_{β_0, β_1} by lemma 3.1. Now proposition 3.2 immediately gives the dimension estimate in theorem 3.2. For the singularity assertion in theorem 3.2 just note that the dimension estimate implies $\dim_H \mu_{\beta_0, \beta_1, p} < 1$ if $\beta_0 \beta_1^{1-p} < p^p (1-p)^{1-p}$. \square

5 Absolute continuity

In order to prove results on absolute continuity of the Markov geometric measures we use transversality techniques developed by Peres and Solomyak [15, 16] based on an idea

by Pollicott and Simon [18]. For a set \mathfrak{B} of real analytic functions we say, that the δ -transversality condition holds on an interval I , if

$$f(x) < \delta \Rightarrow f'(x) < -\delta$$

holds for all $x \in I$ and $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ where $\delta > 0$ is interdependent of x and f . This means that the graph of the function f crosses all horizontal lines that it meets below height δ transversally with slope at most $-\delta$. In [15] it is proved that there is an δ such that the δ -transversality condition holds for

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{f | f(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k, \quad |a_k| \leq 1\}$$

on the interval $[b, 0.649]$ for any $b > 0$. Fan and Zhang [5] succeeded in extending the upper bound here a little bit if the coefficients of the power series come from a Markov shift Σ_A . We state the result as a lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *For any $b > 0$ there is a δ such that the δ -transversality condition holds for the set of power series*

$$\mathfrak{B}_A = \{f | f(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k s_k - b_k t_k) x^k, \quad a_k, b_k \in (0, 1], \quad (s_k), (t_k) \in \Sigma_A\}$$

on the interval $[b, 0.739]$.

In fact this lemma is proved in [5] for $a_k = b_k = 1$. But as remarked by Peres and Solomyak, see lemma 5.1 of [16], the technique using (*)-functions generalizes to the case of smaller coefficients and this technique is applied in [5]. Using transversality lemma we will prove the following lemma, which will be essential.

Lemma 5.2 *For all $\underline{\beta} > 0$ and $c \in (0, 1)$ there is constant $D > 0$ such that for all sequences $(s_k), (t_k) \in \Sigma_A$*

$$\mathfrak{L}(\{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, 0.739] \mid |\pi_{\beta, c\beta}((s_k)) - \pi_{\beta, c\beta}((t_k))| \leq r\} \leq D r \underline{\beta}^{-i} c^{-\#i((s_k))})$$

where $i = i((s_k), (t_k)) = \max\{k | s_k = t_k\}$.

Proof. Define i depending on sequences $(s_k), (t_k) \in \Sigma_A$ as above and suppress this indices in the following . Using the definition of the coding map π in section 2 we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(s_k), (t_k)}(\beta) &= \pi_{\beta, c\beta}((s_k)) - \pi_{\beta, c\beta}((t_k)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n c^{\#n((s_k))} - t_n c^{\#n((t_k))}) \beta^n \\ &= \beta^i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_{i+n} c^{\#i+n((s_k))} - t_{i+n} c^{\#i+n((t_k))}) \beta^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta^{i+1} (s_{i+1}c^{\sharp_{i+1}((s_k))} - t_{i+1}c^{\sharp_{i+1}((t_k))}) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(s_{i+n+1}c^{\sharp_{i+n+1}((s_k))} - t_{i+n+1}c^{\sharp_{i+n+1}((t_k))})}{(s_{i+1}c^{\sharp_{i+1}((s_k))} - t_{i+1}c^{\sharp_{i+1}((t_k))})} \beta^n\right) \\
&= \beta^{i+1} c^{\sharp_i((s_k))+1} (\bar{s}_1 - \bar{t}_1) \underbrace{\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{s}_{n+1}c^{\sharp_{n+1}((\bar{s}_k))^{-1}} - \bar{t}_{n+1}c^{\sharp_{n+1}((\bar{t}_k))^{-1}}}{\bar{s}_1 - \bar{t}_1} \beta^n\right)}_{:=\psi_{(s_k),(t_k)}(\beta)},
\end{aligned}$$

where (\bar{s}_k) is the i -times shifted sequence $(s_{i+1}, s_{i+2}, \dots) \in \Sigma_A$ and accordingly (\bar{t}_k) is the sequence $(t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots) \in \Sigma_A$. Note that if $\bar{s}_{n+1} = 1$ we have $c^{\sharp_{n+1}((\bar{s}_k))^{-1}} \in (0, 1)$, the same holds for \bar{t} . Hence we see (exchanging the role of t and s if $\bar{s}_1 - \bar{t}_1 = -1$) that the power series $\psi_{(s_k),(t_k)}$ here fall into the class \mathfrak{B}_A , defined in lemma 5.1. Applying ρ -transversally we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{L}\{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, 0.739] \mid |\phi_{(s_k),(t_k)}(\beta)| \leq r\} \\
&= \mathfrak{L}\{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, 0.739] \mid |\psi_{(s_k),(t_k)}(\beta)| \leq r\beta^{-(i+1)}c^{-(\sharp_i((s_k))+1)}\} \leq 2\rho^{-1}\beta^{-(i+1)}c^{-(\sharp_i((s_k))+1)}r.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus our lemma holds with $D = 2\rho^{-1}\underline{\beta}^{-1}c^{-1}$. \square

Now we are prepared to prove our main result:

Theorem 5.1 *Let $p \in (0, 1)$, $q \in (1, 2]$ and $c \in (0, 1]$. The density of the measures $\mu_{\beta,c\beta,p}$ is in L^q for almost all $\beta \in (0, 0.739)$ with*

$$\left(\frac{p^q}{\beta^{q-1}} + \frac{(1-p)^q}{(c\beta^2)^{q-1}}\right) < 1.$$

Proof. Fix p, q and c during the proof. Define the (lower) local density of a measure μ on the real line by

$$\underline{D}(\mu, x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{2r}.$$

If

$$\int (\underline{D}(\mu, x))^{q-1} d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

then μ is absolute continuous and has density in L^q by 2.12 of [7]. Thus it is sufficient for us to show that

$$\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\beta}) := \int_{\underline{\beta}}^{0.739} \int (D(\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta}, x))^{q-1} d\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta}(x) d\beta < \infty$$

holds for all $\underline{\beta} > \underline{\beta}(p, q, c)$. Applying Fatou's lemma, than changing the order of integration and variables using $\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta} = \pi_{\beta,c\beta}(\nu_p)$ and estimating with Hölder inequality $\int f^{q-1} \leq C(\int f)^{q-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\beta}) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2r)^{q-1}} \int_0^{0.739} \int_{\Sigma_A} (\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta}(B_r(x)))^{q-1} d\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2r)^{q-1}} \int_{\Sigma_A} \int_0^{0.739} (\mu_{p,\beta,c\beta}(B_r(\pi_{\beta,c\beta}((s_k))))^{q-1} dx d\nu_p \\
&\leq C \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2r)^{q-1}} \int_{\Sigma_A} \left(\int_0^{0.739} \mu_{p,\beta,c\beta}(B_r(\pi_{\beta,c\beta}((s_k)))) dx \right)^{q-1} d\nu_p \\
&= C \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2r)^{q-1}} \int_{\Sigma_A} \left(\int_{\Sigma_A} \mathfrak{L}(\{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, 0.739] \mid |\pi_{\beta,c\beta}((s_k)) - \pi_{\beta,c\beta}((t_k))| \leq r\}) d\nu_p \right)^{q-1} d\nu_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 5.2 we continue with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\beta}) &\leq C \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2r)^{q-1}} \int_{\Sigma_A} \left(\int_{\Sigma_A} Dr \underline{\beta}^{-i} c^{-\#i((s_k))} d\nu_p \right)^{q-1} d\nu_p \\
&= C(D/2)^{q-1} \int_{\Sigma_A} \left(\int_{\Sigma_A} \underline{\beta}^{-i} c^{-\#i((s_k))} d\nu_p \right)^{q-1} d\nu_p \\
&\leq C(D/2)^{q-1} \max\{p, 1-p\} \int_{\Sigma_A} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{\beta}^{-n} c^{-\#n((s_k))} \nu_p([s_1, \dots, s_n]) \right)^{q-1} d\nu_p \\
&\leq C(D/2)^{q-1} \max\{p, 1-p\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma_A} \underline{\beta}^{-n(q-1)} c^{-\#n((s_k))(q-1)} \nu_p([s_1, \dots, s_n])^{q-1} d\nu_p \\
&= C(D/2)^{q-1} \max\{p, 1-p\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{[s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq \Sigma_A} \underline{\beta}^{-n(q-1)} c^{-\#n((s_k))(q-1)} \nu_p([v_1, \dots, v_n])^q
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over cylinder sets in Σ_A . We now write the summation here in another form using the structure of Σ_A . For a sequence $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \{1, (-1, 1)\}^n$ let $\#_1(v)$ be the number of entries in v that are one and by $\#_{-1,1}(v)$ be the number of entries that are $(-1, 1)$ one. With this notation the measure of the corresponding cylinder sets is given by

$$\nu_p([v_1, \dots, v_n]) = \nu_p([v_1]) p^{\#_1(v)} (1-p)^{\#_{-1,1}(v)} \leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2-p}, \frac{1-p}{2-p}\right\} p^{\#_1(v)} (1-p)^{\#_{-1,1}(v)}$$

and the length of the cylinder obviously is $\#_1(v) + 2\#_{-1,1}(v)$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\beta})$ is up to the constant

$$C(D/2)^{q-1} \max\{p, 1-p\} \max\left\{\frac{1}{2-p}, \frac{1-p}{2-p}\right\}$$

bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in \{1, (-1, 1)\}^n} \underline{\beta}^{-(\#_1(v) + 2\#_{-1,1}(v))(q-1)} c^{-(q-1)\#_{-1,1}(v)} p^{q\#_1(v)} (1-p)^{q\#_{-1,1}(v)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in \{1, (-1, 1)\}^n} (\underline{\beta}^{1-q} p^q)^{-\#_1(v)} (c^{1-q} \underline{\beta}^{2(1-q)} (1-p)^q)^{\#_{-1,1}(v)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\underline{\beta}^{1-q} p^q + (c\underline{\beta}^2)^{1-q} (1-p)^q)^n.$$

The geometric series here converges if $\underline{\beta}^{1-q} p^q + (c\underline{\beta}^2)^{1-q} (1-p)^q < 1$, which is our assumption. \square

Our theorem has the following corollary on absolute continuity.

Corollary 5.1 *For all $p \in (0, 1)$ and $c \in (0, 1]$ the measures $\mu_{\beta, c\beta, p}$ is absolutely continuous for almost all $\beta \in (0, 0.739)$ with*

$$\left(\frac{p}{\beta}\right)^p \left(\frac{1-p}{c\beta^2}\right)^{1-p} < 1.$$

Proof. By theorem 5.1 the measures $\mu_{\beta, c\beta, p}$ is absolutely continuous for all $q \in (0, 1]$ and almost all $\beta \in (0, 0.739)$ with

$$\left(p^q + \frac{(1-p)^q}{(c\beta)^{q-1}}\right)^{1/(q-1)} < \beta.$$

Taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ and using the rule of Le Hospital we obtain that $\mu_{\beta, c\beta, p}$ is absolutely continuous for almost all $\beta \in (0, 0.739)$ with

$$p^p \left(\frac{1-p}{c\beta}\right)^{1-p} < \beta,$$

which is equivalent to the condition stated in the corollary. \square

Proof of theorem 3.3 By the the theorem of Fubini the last corollary is stronger than our assertion on absolute continuity in theorem 3.3. Setting $q = 2$ in theorem 5.1 and using Fubini again, we get the assertion on L^2 density in theorem 3.3. \square

6 An application to fractal geometry

Our aim here is to prove theorem 3.4. on the Hausdorff dimension of the sets

$$\Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = (\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\Sigma_A),$$

introduced in section two. From the view of fractal geometry the attractor of the iterated function system given by $G_0, G_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with

$$G_0(x, y) = (\beta_0 x, \tau_0 y) \quad G_1(x, y) = (\beta_1 x + \beta_1, \tau_1 y + \tau_1)$$

is $(\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ and Λ is the subset of this attractor modeled by Σ_A , see chapter 5 of [17]. By a standard covering argument from fractal geometry, see [19], we have get following proposition:

Proposition 6.1 For all $\tau_1, \tau_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\tau_1 + \tau_1\tau_2 < 1$ and $\beta_1 + \beta_1\beta_2 \geq 1$ we have

$$\dim_H \Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) \leq d,$$

where d is given by the unique solution $d > 1$ of

$$\beta_1\tau_1^{d-1} + \beta_1\beta_2(\tau_1\tau_2)^{d-1} = 1.$$

In fact the box-counting dimension of the set is given by d and the box-counting dimension is an upper bound on the Hausdorff dimension in general. To show that d generically is a lower bound, we use the measures

$$\hat{\mu}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, p) := (\pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \times \pi_{\tau_1, \tau_2})(\nu_p),$$

that are supported on $\Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$. We can express the Hausdorff dimension of these measures in terms of the Hausdorff dimension of the geometric Markov measures $\mu_{\beta_1, \beta_2} = \pi_{\beta_1, \beta_2}(\nu)$.

Proposition 6.2 For all $\tau_1, \tau_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\tau_1 + \tau_1\tau_2 < 1$ and $\beta_1 + \beta_1\beta_2 \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H \hat{\mu}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, p) &= \frac{p \log(p) + (1-p) \log(1-p)}{\log(\tau_1) + (1-p) \log(\tau_2)} \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{\log(\beta_1) + (1-p) \log(\beta_2)}{\log(\tau_1) + (1-p) \log(\tau_2)}\right) \dim_H \mu_{\beta_1, \beta_2, p}. \end{aligned}$$

This proposition can be proved using the dimension theory of dynamical systems, see chapter 8 of [17], exactly in the same way as theorem 2 of [10]; just replace the Bernoulli measures there by Markov measures and use the formula of Parry for the entropy of these measures, compare section 4. The proposition may be also proved using the general dimension theory of iterated function systems [6], by relating the conditional entropies that appear in this work to the dimension of projected measures. Using both proposition above it is easy to prove our last result.

Proof of theorem 3.4 Under the assumption proposition 6.1 and 6.2 let $d > 1$ be the solution of $\beta_1\tau_1^{d-1} + \beta_1\beta_2(\tau_1\tau_2)^{d-1} = 1$ and let $p = \beta_1\tau_1^{d-1}$. By proposition 6.2 we have $\dim_H \hat{\mu}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, p) = d$ and by proposition 6.1 we get $\dim_H \Lambda(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = d$, if $\dim_H \mu_{\beta_1, \beta_2, p} = 1$. It remains to show that for all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\tau_1 + \tau_1\tau_2 < 1$ and almost all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 0.739)$ the measure $\mu_{\beta_1, \beta_2, p}$ for $p = \beta_1\tau_1^{d-1}$ is absolutely continuous and hence has dimension one. Note that

$$\frac{p^2}{\beta_1} + \frac{(1-p)^2}{\beta_1\beta_2} = \beta_1\tau_1^{2(d-1)} + \beta_1\beta_2(\tau_1\tau_2)^{2(d-1)} < 1,$$

hence the statement follows from theorem 5.1 for $q = 2$. □

References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [2] P. Erdős, *On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. 61, 974-975, 1939.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On the smoothness properties of Bernoulli convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. 62, 180-186, 1940.
- [4] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry - Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [5] A. Fan and J. Zhang, *Absolute continuity of the distribution of some Markov geometric series*, Science in China. Series A. Mathematic, vol. 50, no.11, 1521-1528, 2007.
- [6] D.J. Feng and H. Hu, *Dimension theory of iterated function systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 62, 1435-1500, 2009.
- [7] P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in euclidean spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] P. Moran, *Additive functions of intervals and Hausdorff measure*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 42, 15-23, 1946
- [9] J.E. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30, 271-280, 1981.
- [10] J. Neunhäuserer, *Properties of some overlapping self-similar and some self-affine measures*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica vol. 93 1-2, 143-161, 2001.
- [11] J. Neunhäuserer, *A general result on absolute continuity of non-uniform self-similar measures on the real line*, Fractals, vol. 16, no. 4, 299-304, 2008.
- [12] S.M. Ngai and Y. Wang, *Self-similar measures associated with IFS with non-uniform contraction ratios*, Asian J. Math. 9, No. 2, 227-244, 2005.
- [13] W. Parry, *Intrinsic markov chains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112, 5566, 1964.
- [14] Y. Peres, W. Schlag and B. Solomyak, *Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions*, Progress in probability 46, 39-65, 2000.
- [15] Y. Peres and B. Solomyak, *Absolutely continuous Bernoulli convolutions - a simple proof*, Math. Research Letters 3, nu 2, 231-239, 1996.
- [16] Y. Peres and B. Solomyak, *Self-similar measures and intersections of Cantor sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350, no. 10, 40654087. 1998.

- [17] Ya. Pesin, *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems - Contemporary Views and Applications*, University of Chicago Press, 1997
- [18] M. Pollicott and K. Simon, *The Hausdorff dimension of λ -expansions with deleted digits*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347, no. 3, 967983, 1995.
- [19] M. Pollicott and H. Weiss, *The dimensions of some self-affine limit sets in the plane and hyperbolic sets*, *Journal of statistical physics JOURNAL*, 77 (3-4), 841-866, 1994.